DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL



Federal Communications Commission

Washington, D.C.

September 15, 2003



RECEIVED

SEP 2 9 2003

Federal Communications Commission Office of the Secretary

The Honorable W.J (Billy) Tauzin Chairman Committee on Energy and Commerce U.S. House of Representatives 2125 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C 20515

Dear Chairman Tauzin.

Thank you for your letter of August 15, 2003, regarding the Commission's recent amendment to its rules implementing the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991 ("TCPA"). In your correspondence, you express concern about the Commission's decision requiring written consent before sending advertising faxes.

On September 18, 2002, the Commission released its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking ("NPRM") seeking comment on whether it should change its rules that restrict telemarketing calls and unsolicited fax advertisements, and if so, how. Specifically, the NPRM sought comment on the effectiveness of the TCPA's unsolicited facsimile advertisement rules, including the Commission's determination that a prior business relationship between a fax sender and recipient establishes the requisite consent to receive advertisements via fax. The Commission received over 6,000 comments from individuals, businesses, and state governments on the TCPA rules.

The record in this proceeding, along with our own enforcement experience, demonstrated that changes in the current rules are warranted, if consumers and businesses are to continue to receive the privacy protections contemplated by the TCPA. As explained in the Commission's Report and Order released on July 3, 2003, the record indicated that many consumers and businesses receive faxes they believe they have neither solicited nor given their permission to receive Consumers emphasized that the burden of receiving hundreds of unsolicited faxes was not just limited to the cost of paper and toner, but includes the time spent reading and disposing of faxes, the time the machine is printing an advertisement and is not operational for other purposes, and the intrusiveness of faxes transmitted at inconvenient times, including in the middle of the night.

As we explained in the Report and Order, the legislative history of the TCPA indicates that one of Congress' primary concerns was to protect the public from bearing the costs of unwanted advertising Therefore, Congress determined that companies that wish to fax unsolicited advertisements to customers must obtain their express permission to do so before

No. d Owl er old 2 List AUCDS transmitting any faxes to them The amended rules require all entities that wish to transmit advertisements to a facsimile machine to obtain permission from the recipient in writing.

The Commission's amended facsimile advertising rules initially were scheduled to go into effect on August 25, 2003. However, based on additional comments received since the adoption of the July Report and Order, the Commission, on its own motion, determined to delay the effective date of some of the amended facsimile rules, including the elimination of the established business relationship exemption, until January 1, 2005. The comments filed after the release of the Report and Order indicate that many organizations may need additional time to secure this written permission from individuals and businesses to which they fax advertisements. This extension will allow senders of such advertisements additional time to obtain the necessary permission before the new rules become effective. In addition, it will allow the Commission the opportunity to consider any petitions for reconsideration and other filings that may be made on this issue. I am enclosing a copy of the Commission's Order on Reconsideration, released on August 18, 2003.

I appreciate your comments. We have placed a copy of your correspondence in the public record for this proceeding. Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have further questions.

Michael K Powell

Enclosure

Before the Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of)	
)	205 1 31 20 5 5 5
Rules and Regulations Implementing the)	CG Docket No 02-278
Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991)	
)	
)	
)	
)	
)	

ORDER ON RECONSIDERATION

Adopted: August 18, 2003 Released: August 18, 2003

By the Commission:

- 1. On July 3, 2003, the Federal Communications Commission (Commission) released a Report and Order revising many of its telemarketing and facsimile advertising rules pursuant to the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991 (TCPA). Pursuant to Section 1.108 of the Commission's rules, on our own motion, we issue this limited reconsideration of the Report and Order and extend, until January 1, 2005, the effective date of our determination that an established business relationship will no longer be sufficient to show that an individual or business has given express permission to receive unsolicited facsimile advertisements. We also extend, until January 1, 2005, the effective date of amended rule 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200(a)(3)(i).
- 2. In the Report and Order, the Commission reversed its prior conclusion that an established business relationship provides companies with the necessary express permission to send faxes to their customers.⁴ The Commission determined that the established business relationship would no longer be sufficient to show that an individual or business has given express permission to receive unsolicited facsimile advertisements.⁵ Instead, the Commission

See Rules and Regulations Implementing the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991, CG Docket No. 02-278, FCC 03-153, Report and Order (rel. July 3, 2003) (Report and Order). A summary of this Report and Order was published in the Federal Register on July 25, 2003 (68 Fed. Reg. 44144).

² 47 C.F.R. § 1.108.

Amended rule 47 C F R § 64 1200(a)(3)(i) provides that "a facsimile advertisement is not 'unsolicited' if the recipient has granted the sender prior express invitation or permission to deliver the advertisement, as evidenced, by a signed, written statement that includes the facsimile number to which any advertisements may be sent and clearly indicates the recipient's consent to receive such facsimile advertisements from the sender."

Report and Order at para. 189.

Id.

MICHAEL BIJAAUS, FLORIDA
JOE DARTON, TEKAS
FRIG UPTON MICHIGAN
CLIFE STEARNS, I LORIDA
ALLE BILLHOR, DHIO
JAMES C GREENWOOD, PENNSYLVANIA
CHRISTOPHER COX CALIFORNIA
NATHAN DELIRE, MORTH CAROLINA
ED WHITTEND, SENTUCKY
CHARLE BURR, NORTH CAROLINA
ED WHITTEND, SENTUCKY
CHARLE WORWOOD GEORGIA
BARBARA CUBIN WYONING
JOHN SHINKUS, ILLINOS
HEATTER WILSON NEW MILKICO
ONN BILNOT, MISSICSIPP
VITO PROSTELLA NEW YORK
ROY BLUNT, MISSICH POR
ROY BLUNT, MISSICH OR
CHARLES W CHILL PICKFINING, MISSISSIPP
VITO PROSTELLA NEW YORK
ROY BLUNT, MISSICH
ACHY BOND CALIFORNIA
GEORGE RADANOVICH CALIFORNIA
GEORGE RADANOVICH CALIFORNIA
LORIGHE PROTORNIA
LORIGH CHARLES
MICHAEL E SASS, NEW HAMPSHIRL
JOBEPH R PITTS, PRINISYLVANIA
MARY BOND CALIFORNIA
LARRIEL E ISSA, CALIFORNIA
DARRELL E ISSA, CALIFORNIA
CAL BURTON, MICHOLIN
DARRELL E ISSA, CALIFORNIA
CAL BURTON OTHER
DAMO
CAL BURTON OTHER
MICHAEL
CALIFORNIA
DARRELL E ISSA, CALIFORNIA
CAL BURTON OTHER
COMMENTARION
CALIFORNIA
C

ONE HUNDRED EIGHTH CONGRESS

U.S. House of Representatives Committee on Energy and Commerce Washington, DC 20515—6115

W J "BILLY" TAUZIN, LOUISIANA, CHAIRMAN

August 15, 2003

JOHN D DINGELL MICHICAN

YENAYA, WASHAN, CALIPORNIA

EDWARD J MARKEY, KIASSACHUSETTS

RALPH M. HALL, TEXAS

RICK BOLICHE, VIRGINIA

LDOLPHUS TOWNS, NEW YOAK

FRANK PALLONE, JA., REW JERSEY

SHERROD BROWN, OHO

BART CORDON, TEWNESSEE

FETER DEST ÉCIA, Y-CYRODA

BGBEY L. RUSH, ILLINOIS

ANNIA C. SETOO CALIPORNIA

UANT STUTYAK, MICHIGAN

LLOI L. ENGEL NEW TONK

AUNETT STUTYAK, MICHIGAN

LLOI L. ENGEL NEW TONK

AUNETT STUTYAK, MICHIGAN

LLOI L. ENGEL NEW TONK

KAIKEN MOGRATHAY MESOUIN

TED STRÜKLAND, ONIO

DIANA DERSTTE, COLORADO

LOIS CAPPS, CALIPORNIA

MICHAEL F. DOYLE, PENNEYLVANIA

CHRISTOPHER JOHN, LOUISIAMA

CHRISTOPHER JOHN, LUINOIS

HILDAL SOLIS, CALIPORNIA

DAN H UNOUKLETTE STAFF DIRECTOR

The Honorable Michael K. Powell Chairman Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Chairman Powell:

While protecting consumers from unwanted telemarketing solicitations is a goal we share, we are writing to express our concerns about the Federal Communications Commission's ("Commission") recent changes to its facsimile advertising rules which were made pursuant to the Report and Order In the Matter of Rules and Regulations Implementing the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991 (released on July 3, 2003). In particular, we are concerned about the significant compliance burdens, both in terms of time and cost, which these recent changes will impose on businesses and trade associations.

More specifically, we are concerned about the significant compliance burdens associated with the changes which now will require businesses and trade associations to obtain the express written and signed consent of their customers and members before they can send facsimile advertisements to them. This new requirement reflects a substantial reversal of the Commission's eleven year-old statutory interpretation (of the TCPA) that an established business relationship (not written and signed consent) provided businesses and trade associations with the necessary express permission to send faxes to their customers and members.

As you know, many businesses and trade associations rely heavily on facsimile advertising as a cost-effective means of communicating with their customers and members in this regard. Under the new rules, businesses and trade associations will now have to reach each of their customers and members to get the requisite written and signed consent. We believe that the cost and time required for compliance with this new requirement will be significant. This is particularly true since the new requirement reflects a reversal of the eleven year-old rules upon which businesses and trade associations had come to rely. As such, because businesses and trade associations were never required to obtain written and signed consent in the past, they likely would have to start the process from scratch going forward.

18 AUG 2003 RCVD

The Honorable Michael K. Powell Page 2

Based on the foregoing, we strongly urge the Commission to delay implementation of the new facsimile advertising rules in order to provide businesses and trade associations ample time to deal with the significant compliance burdens at issue. Thank you for your attention to our concerns.

Sincerely,

Chairman

Chairman

Subcommittee on Telecommunications

red lepter

and the Internet



The Committee on Energy and Commerce U.S. House of Representatives

W.J. "Billy" Tauzin, Cheirman

Fax Transmission

2126 Rayburn House Office Bulkling Washington, D.C. 20515 (202) 225-2927 Fax: (202) 225-1919



To:

Pagesi

Notes:

The Honorable Michael K. Powell

Chairman

3, including cover

Federal Communications Commission

c/o Jim Balleguer & Paul Jackson

Hard copy to follow by U.S. Mail.

Chairman W.J. "Billy" Tauzin & Subcommittee Chairman Fred Upton c/o Will Nordwind & Will Carty

202-418-2806

Dute: August 15, 2003

Phone: 202-418-1900

PRINT TIME AUG. 15. 1:00PM